Central Bank Communications

By

C.A.E. Goodhart Financial Markets Group London School of Economics

<u>Background</u>

- Central Bank's forecasts, e.g. of its own future interest rates [Goodhart and Lim, IJCB, 2011], or of unemployment, etc., are not good, (though no worse than others), outside the relatively shortterm future. No crystal ball; no reason to believe such forecasts are better than, say, those of the market beyond a short horizon.
- 2) The form of a Central Bank's communication is considerably influenced by the structure and form of its decision-making process:-
 - Single Governor,
 - Small CB Management team,
 - Committee with externals.

Collegial vs Individualistic.

What can be communicated?

| | Mean | Variance | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----|
| Current Decision | Everyone | Minutes | |
| | | [Collegial vs Individualistic] | |
| Reaction Function | Most | ? | |
| | | [Hawk/dove analysis] | |
| Interest Rate Path | NZ, Nordics | FOMC | (|
| (Conditional) | [Problem for Committees] | [Dots] | 14 |
| Interest Rate Path | Forward Guidance | . ? | |
| (Pre-commitment) | | [Scenarios. UK?] | |

Forward Guidance

Contra: Forecasts unreliable [Reaction function changes].

So either,

- a) Take wrong policy, or
- B) Renege

Revealed preference is (b), so limited credibility.

Pro: Clarity and Reach of communication.

Conclusion

Despite weaknesses, will be done in foreseeable future.

Rationale: To limit upwards move in long rates as short rates re-normalise.

N.B. Will not have much effect on bets about date of first move, though communication of reaction function may have limited effect.